

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/075,485

Applicant(s)

WANG ET AL.

Examiner

(Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho

Art Unit

3731

All Participants:(1) (Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho.(2) Glenn M. Seager.**Status of Application:** _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 25 May 2004**Time:** _____**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

27

Prior art documents discussed:

2002/0121472

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.
(Examiner/SPE Signature)_____
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner suggests the applicant to include limitation "a plurality of openings in addition to the port" in claim 27 in order to overcome the cited art. Applicants agree. Also, Examiner suggests to insert "side" before "opening" in the claims (see examiner amendment for detail) in order to place the claims in a better form. Applicants agree.